

## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/491,779 01/26/00 GAUSELMANN М ADP231 **EXAMINER** QM32/0111 Horst M Kasper COLLINS, D 13 Forest Drive **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER Warren NJ 07059 12 3711 DATE MAILED: 01/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



*!*/

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/491,779

Applica

Michael Gauselmann

Examiner

Dol res R. Collins

Group Art Unit 3711



| ТН              | E PER   | IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]   |
|-----------------|---|---|
|                 | a) 🗌  | expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |
|                 | b) 💢  | expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.   |
|                 | data an   | ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of hing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be defined from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. |
|                 |   | ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).   |
| Ap <sub>l</sub> | plicant<br>is NO  | t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 15, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect,<br>T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:   |
| X               | The pr  | oposed amendment(s):  |
|                 | ☐ wi  | l be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.   |
|                 | X wi  | I not be entered because:   |
|                 |   | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).   |
|                 |   | they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).   |
| ÷               |   | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.   |
|                 |   | they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally réjected claims.  |
|                 | NO.   | TE:   |
|                 |   |   |
|                 | □ Ap  | plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):   |
|                 | Newly<br>separa   | proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  |
| X               | for all   | ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition by by ancered by the claims even as restated.  |
|                 |   | ancura reference appears to be applicable to the claims even as restated.   |
| **              |   | ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.  |
| <b>X</b> )      | Exami<br>For pu<br>Claim<br>Claim                             | ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the   |
|                 | Exami<br>For pu<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>The p           | ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.  urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  s allowed:  s objected to: s rejected: 1-8  roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.  |
|                 | Exami<br>For pu<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>The p           | ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.  urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  s allowed:  s objected to: s rejected: 1-8   |
|                 | Exami<br>For pu<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>The p           | ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.  urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  s allowed:  s objected to: s rejected: 1-8  roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.  |
|                 | Exami<br>For pu<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>The p<br>Note t | ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.  urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: s objected to: s rejected: 1-8  roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.  |
|                 | Exami<br>For pu<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>Claim<br>The p<br>Note t | ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.  urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: s objected to: s rejected: 1-8  roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.  |